PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

10/592919

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference ISIS0183-500	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2005/008428	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 March 2005 (15.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 March 2004 (15.03.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant ISIS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.				

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the pridate (Rule 44bis.2).					
In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a refere to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the report	1.				
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the report	2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the pridate (Rule 44bis.2).	:				
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industria applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the pridate (Rule 44bis.2).	3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following	items:	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industria applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the pridate (Rule 44bis.2).		Box No. I	Basis of the report		
applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industria applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the pridate (Rule 44bis.2).		Box No. II	Priority		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industria applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the pridate (Rule 44bis.2).		Box No. III			
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the predate (Rule 44bis.2).		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the product (Rule 44bis.2). Date of issuance of this report		Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the product (Rule 44bis.2). Date of issuance of this report		Box No. VI	Box No. VI Certain documents cited		
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the principle (Rule 44bis.2). Date of issuance of this report		Box No. VII	No. VII Certain defects in the international application		
not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the product (Rule 44bis .2). Date of issuance of this report		Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
•	not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority				
•					
19 September 2006 (19.09.2006)				Date of issuance of this report 19 September 2006 (19.09.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac		34, chemin des Colombettes			
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: pt11@wipo.int	<u> </u>	e-mail: pt11@wipo.int			

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNAT	IONAL SEARCH	ING AUTHORI	TY		
To: PAUL K. LEGAARD COZEN O'CONNOR 1900 MARKET STREET		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
PHILADE	LPHIA, PA 191	U3		INTERNATION	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	16 FEB12006
Applicant'	's or agent's file re	eference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION
ISIS0183-	500				See paragraph 2 below
Internation	nal application No	. Int	ernational filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US05			March 2005 (15.03.2		15 March 2004 (15.03.2004)
		, ,	oth national classificat		
IPC(8): C		5/85; A61K 48/0	0; C12N 15/11; CO7F	1 21/04 and US Cl.: 4	135/6, 325; 514/44, 536/23.1, 24.3, 24.5
		0			
ISIS PHA	RMACEUTICAL	S			
1. This c	pinion contains in	ndications relating	g to the following item	ns:	
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
	Box No. II Priority				
\boxtimes	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited			•	
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
2 FUR	THER ACTIO	N			
If a d Intern Autho	emand for international Prelimina ority other than the	ational preliminar ry Examining A is one to be the l	uthority ("IPEA") ex	xcept that this does IPEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an ne International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis (b) ered.
IPEA	a written reply to	gether, where ap	propriate, with amend	lments, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.
For fu	irther options, see	Form PCT/ISA/2	220.		
3. For fu	erther details, see i	notes to Form PC	T/ISA/220.		
	mailing address		Date of comple	ction of this opinion	Authorized officer
	Mail Stop PCT, Attn Commissioner for Pa		23 November 2	2005 (23.11.2005)	Amy H. Bowman Melse And Amy H. Bowman Melse Am
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1450			Telephone No. (371)272-0755

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International	application No.	

PCT/US05/08428

Box No. I Basis of this opinion					
1. With r	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed				
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a.	type of material				
	a sequence listing				
	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b.	format of material				
	on paper				
	in electronic form				
c.	time of filing/furnishing				
	contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additio	onal comments:				
•					
	•				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/08428

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
the entire international application				
claims Nos. 32				
because:				
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 32 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
Claim 32 is an improper multiple dependent claim, as it recites "The method of any one of the above claims" and claim 18 recites "The method of any one of claims 2, 3, 4, or 5"				
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).				
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
See Supplemental Box for further details.				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/08428

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims 10-16 and 23-31	YES		
	Claims <u>1-9 and 17-22</u>	NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 13 and 28	YES		
	Claims 1-12, 14-27 and 29-31	NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-31	YES		
mionomia abbusas	Claims NONE	NO		

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-9 and 17-22 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Krotz et al. (US 2003/0096770 A1).

Krotz et al. teach a method of modulating the expression of a target RNA comprising administering an antisense oligonucleotide specific for the target. Krotz et al. teach an oligonucleotide that has a first region of nucleotides of one conformation, which comprises deoxynucleotides, and a second region that is 5' to the first region that comprises 2'-O-methoxyethyl groups. Additionally, the oligo comprises phosphorothioate linkages. There is a transitional moiety between the 2'-O-methoxyethyl and deoxynucleotides that incorporates a 5-methylcytosine (see ISIS-9606, page 8, for example). Additionally, the oligonucleotide has a third region of the same type as the second region that again is separated from a deoxynucleotide by a 5-methylcytosine.

Claims 10-12, 14, 15, 23-27, 29 and 30 an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Krotz et

Krotz et al. further teach preferred embodiments for antisense oligonucleotides comprising alkylene linkages. Krotz et al. teach that the alkenyl may be substituted or unsubstituted C1 to C10. Additionally, Krotz et al. teach that fluorinated oligos and 2' ara modified oligos are preferred (see page 6).

It would have been obvious to incorporate each of these modifications into the specific oligonucleotide discussed in the rejection above at the time the invention was made. One would have been motivated to incorporate these modifications since Krotz et al. teach that each are preferred modifications for antisense oligonucleotides to increase the binding affinity and enhance the overall activity of the oligonucleotide. One would have a reasonable expectation of success since Krotz et al. teach that these modifications enhance the activity of antisense oligonucleotides.

Claims 16 and 31 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Krotz et al., as explained above, in view of Cook et al. (US 2002/0160379 A1).

Krotz et al. do not teach acyclic sugar analogs.

Cook et al. teach that acyclic sugar analogs are preferred modifications for antisense oligonucleotides and

enhance the activity of antisense oligonucleotides (see page 4, for example).

It would have been obvious to incorporate an acyclic sugar analog, as taught by Cook et al., into the specific oligonucleotide discussed in the first rejection above, as taught by Krotz et al. One would have been motivated to incorporate an acyclic sugar analog since Cook et al. teach that acyclic sugar analogs are preferred modifications for antisense oligonucleotides to enhance the activity of the oligonucleotide. One would have a reasonable expectation of success since Krotz et al. teach modified antisense oligonucleotides and Cook et al. teach that acyclic sugar analogs are preferred modifications to enhance oligonucleotide activity. One would reasonably expect the modification taught by Cook et al. to benefit the oligo of Krotz et al.

Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)